Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/791,068	SATO, KENJI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Holly Rickman	1773
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Holly Rickman.	(3)	
(2) <u>Josh Snider</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 4 January 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 and 103 rejections of record	•	,
Claims discussed: 1-7		
Prior art documents discussed: art of record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writto ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
	<u>-</u>	
Holly (f.)		
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed an amendment to the claims to put the case in condition for allowance. The examiner indicated that the claims would be allowable if amended to require that the first and second seed layers have grains growing in different directions. Mr. Snider indicated that he would submit a response to the outstanding office action and make the discussed amendment in order to overcome the prior art.